

Contents

<i>Table of Continuing Notes</i>	xxiii
<i>Table of Problems</i>	xxv
<i>Preface</i>	xxvii
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xxix

Chapter 1. Introduction to the Torts Process: Liability for Harmful and Offensive Battery 1

A. Some General Observations	1
The Lawyer as Part of the Legal Profession	1
A Bird's-Eye View of the Law of Torts	2
B. A Preliminary Look at the Adjudicatory Process	3
The Investigation	3
The Pleadings	4
The Trial	6
The Appeal	8
Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Adjudication	8
C. The Substantive Law Governing Liability for Battery	9
1. The Prima Facie Case	11
a. Intent	12
(1) What Consequences Must the Defendant Intend to Commit a Battery?	12
<i>Vosburg v. Putney</i>	12
The Law-Fact Distinction: In General	15
Note: Tort Liability of Minors and Their Parents	17
(2) Which Mental States Constitute Intent to Cause a Harmful or Offensive Contact?	19
<i>Garratt v. Dailey</i>	19
The Law-Fact Distinction: Trials Without Juries	25
Problem 1	25
Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Judges vs. Juries as Triers of Fact	26
b. Contact	29
(1) What Constitutes Contact?	29
(2) Which Intended Contacts Are Wrongful?	29
<i>Fisher v. Carrousel Motor Hotel</i>	30
<i>Leichtman v. WLW Jacor Communications, Inc.</i>	32
Note: Returning (Briefly) to the Element of Intent	34
Law and Policy: Preliminary Considerations	34
2. Privileges	38
a. Consent	38
<i>O'Brien v. Cunard Steamship Co.</i>	38

	The Law-Fact Distinction: Sufficiency of the Evidence, Directed Verdicts, and Judgments Non Obstante Veredicto	39
	Problem 2	41
	<i>Barton v. Bee Line, Inc.</i>	42
	The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Keeping Confidences	44
	Note: Minors as Parties to Litigation	46
	Note: The Effect of Criminal Statutes on Consent	46
	<i>Bang v. Charles T. Miller Hospital</i>	48
	<i>Kennedy v. Parrott</i>	50
	The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Medical Malpractice	53
	<i>Hackbart v. Cincinnati Bengals, Inc.</i>	58
	Note: Consent Procured By Fraud or Duress	61
	Note: Patients' Consent to Lifesaving Medical Treatment	62
b.	Pulling Some Strands Together: Intent, Consent, and Liability Insurance	65
	<i>State Farm Fire & Casualty Company v. S.S. & G.W.</i>	66
c.	Self-Defense	71
	Note: Rules vs. Standards as Means of Guiding and Judging Behavior	72
	Problem 3	73
	<i>Courvoisier v. Raymond</i>	76
	The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Playing to the Prejudices of the Jury	78
	The Law-Fact Distinction: Evaluation of Conduct	80
	Problem 4	81
	Law and Policy: Why All This Reliance on Reasonableness?	82
d.	Defense of Others	84
e.	Defense of Property	84
	<i>Katko v. Briney</i>	85
	Law and Policy: Why Should We Care About Sneak Thieves?	91
f.	Necessity	93
	<i>Ploof v. Putnam</i>	93
	<i>Vincent v. Lake Erie Transportation Co.</i>	94
	Problem 5	97
g.	Miscellaneous Privileges	98
	Law and Behavior: Does the One Affect the Other?	100
	Law and Policy: Taking Stock	102

Chapter 2. Actual Causation 105

- A. Did the Defendant Cause the Plaintiff’s Harm? 106
 - Hoyt v. Jeffers* 107
 - Smith v. Rapid Transit Inc.* 109
 - The Law-Fact Distinction: Sufficiency of the Evidence and Circumstantial Proof of Causation 111
 - Problem 6 116
 - The Lawyer’s Professional Responsibility: The Partisan Expert Witness, Frivolous Lawsuits, and Perjury 117
- B. When One of Several Defendants Did It, But We Can’t Tell Which One: Alternative Liability 119
 - Summers v. Tice* 119
 - Ybarra v. Spangard* 121
 - Note: Joint and Several Liability 123
 - Note: The Development of Market-Share Liability in DES Litigation 124
 - Problem 7 126
 - The Lawyer’s Professional Responsibility: Interviewing Witnesses 131
 - Problem 8 132
- C. When Two or More Causal Agents Would, Independent of Each Other, Have Caused Plaintiff’s Harm: Concurrent and Successive Causation 134
 - Dillon v. Twin State Gas & Electric Co.* 134
 - Kingston v. Chicago & N.W. Ry.* 135
 - Problem 9 137
- D. Relationship Between Actual Causation and Vicarious Liability 138
 - 1. Masters, Servants, and Independent Contractors — Respondeat Superior 139
 - a. General Principles 139
 - b. Distinguishing Servants from Independent Contractors 139
 - c. Relationship Between the Servant’s Conduct and the Scope of Employment 141
 - d. Exceptions to the General Rule of Nonliability of Independent Contractors 143
 - e. The Master’s Right of Indemnity Against the Servant 144
 - Law and Policy: Why Should Masters Be Liable for the Employment-Related Torts of Their Servants? 144
 - Problem 10 146
 - 2. Other Forms of Vicarious Liability 147
 - a. Joint Enterprise 147
 - b. The Family Purpose Doctrine 148

Chapter 3. Negligence: Duty of Care and Proof of Breach 149

- A. The Origins and Early Development of the Negligence Concept 149
 - Brown v. Kendall* 150

	Law and Behavior: Influences upon Judicial Decisions	153
B.	The General Standard	157
	<i>United States v. Carroll Towing Co.</i>	159
	Problem 11	163
	The Law-Fact Distinction: The Negligence Issue	164
	<i>Washington v. Louisiana Power and Light Co.</i>	166
	<i>Weirum v. RKO General, Inc.</i>	168
	Note: The Duty Issue in Negligence Law	171
	Law and Policy: The Values Reflected in the Negligence Concept	173
C.	Special Rules Governing the Proof of Negligence	177
	1. Violation of Criminal Statutes	177
	<i>Martin v. Herzog</i>	177
	<i>Tedla v. Ellman</i>	179
	<i>Brown v. Shyne</i>	182
	The Law-Fact Distinction: The Effect of Safety Statute Violations upon the Division of Functions Between Judge and Jury	187
	Problem 12	188
	2. Custom	189
	<i>Trimarco v. Klein</i>	189
	The T. J. Hooper	190
	Problem 13	193
	Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Special Verdicts, General Verdicts, and Instructions to the Jury	193
	Law and Behavior: Influences upon Jury Decisions	195
	<i>Helling v. Carey</i>	199
	The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Breach of Promise to Cure as a Basis of Medical Malpractice Liability	202
	3. Res Ipsa Loquitur	203
	<i>Boyer v. Iowa High School Athletic Ass'n.</i>	206
	<i>Shutt v. Kaufman's, Inc.</i>	209
	<i>City of Louisville v. Humphrey</i>	212
	Problem 14	213
	<i>Escola v. Coca Cola Bottling Co.</i>	214
D.	Modification of the General Standard Arising Out of Special Relationships Between the Parties	217
	1. Responsibility of Possessors of Land for the Safety of Trespassers, Licensees, and Invitees	217
	a. Invitees and Licensees	218
	b. Trespassers	219
	Problem 15	222
	Problem 16	223
	<i>Rowland v. Christian</i>	223
	2. Responsibility of Common Carriers for the Safety of Their Passengers	228

- 3. Responsibility of Operators of Motor Vehicles for the Safety of Their Passengers 229
- E. The Absence of a General Duty to Rescue 230
 - Erie R. Co. v. Stewart* 230
 - Tubbs v. Argus* 233
 - Problem 17 236
 - Model Rules of Professional Conduct 238
 - The Lawyer’s Professional Responsibility:
 - The Relevance of Moral Considerations 240
 - Tarasoff v. Regents of University of California* 242
 - Problem 18 250
 - Law and Policy: A Legal Duty to Rescue? 251
 - Law and Behavior: The Effect of Legal Rules upon Individual Conduct 254

Chapter 4. Negligence: Proximate Causation, Special Categories of Nonrecovery, and Defenses 259

- A. Proximate Cause 259
 - 1. Liability Linked Logically to Defendant’s Negligence and Limited to Foreseeable Consequences 260
 - a. But For the Wrongful Quality of Defendant’s Conduct, Would the Plaintiff Have Suffered the Same Harm? 260
 - Ford v. Trident Fisheries Co.* 261
 - Lyons v. Midnight Sun Transportation Services, Inc.* 261
 - Cahoon v. Cummings* 263
 - b. Was Any Harm to the Plaintiff Foreseeable When the Defendant Acted? 266
 - Palsgraf v. Long Island R.R.* 266
 - Solomon v. Shuell* 271
 - c. Were the Nature and Circumstances of the Plaintiff’s Harm Foreseeable? 275
 - Marshall v. Nugent* 275
 - Herrera v. Quality Pontiac* 279
 - Stahlecker v. Ford Motor Company* 283
 - Problem 19 288
 - Gorris v. Scott* 291
 - Problem 20 293
 - 2. Other Approaches to the Proximate Cause Issue 294
- B. Special Instances of Nonliability for Foreseeable Consequences 300
 - 1. Mental and Emotional Upset 300
 - a. The Impact and Zone of Danger Rules 300
 - Waube v. Warrington* 301
 - Note: The Impact and Zone of Danger Rules in the Age of Toxics 303
 - b. Bystanders Liability 305
 - Dillon v. Legg* 305

	<i>Thing v. La Chusa</i>	309
	Problem 21	316
c.	Direct Victims	317
	<i>Burgess v. Superior Court</i>	317
2.	Injury to Personal Relationships	321
	<i>Feliciano v. Rosemar Silver Co.</i>	322
	<i>Borer v. American Airlines, Inc.</i>	323
3.	Prenatal Harm	329
a.	Actions by Parents for Their Own Harm	329
	<i>Werling v. Sandy</i>	329
	<i>Fassoulas v. Ramey</i>	334
b.	Actions on Behalf of Children for Their Own Harm	337
	<i>Turpin v. Sortini</i>	338
4.	Purely Consequential Economic Loss	344
	<i>Barber Lines A/S v. M/V Donau Maru</i>	344
	<i>J'Aire Corp. v. Gregory</i>	349
	<i>People Express Airlines, Inc. v. Consolidated Rail Corp.</i>	352
	Problem 22	358
C.	Contributory Fault	359
1.	Contributory Negligence	359
	<i>Butterfield v. Forrester</i>	359
	<i>Davies v. Mann</i>	360
2.	Assumption of the Risk	362
	<i>Meistrich v. Casino Arena Attractions, Inc.</i>	362
	Problem 23	364
	The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Exculpatory Clauses and Disclaimers in Contracts	365
3.	Comparative Negligence	366
	Problem 24	370
	Uniform Comparative Fault Act	372
	Problem 25	374
	<i>Knight v. Jewett</i>	374
D.	Immunities	377
1.	Governmental Immunity	377
2.	Charitable Immunity	379
3.	Intrafamily Immunities	379

Chapter 5. Trespass to Land and Nuisance 385

A.	Trespass to Land	385
B.	Nuisance	387
C.	Judicial Applications of the Substantive Law	392
	<i>Peters v. Archambault</i>	393
	<i>Adams v. Cleveland-Cliffs Iron Co.</i>	396
	<i>Davis v. Georgia-Pacific Corp.</i>	401
	<i>Waschak v. Moffat</i>	404

Jost v. Dairyland Power Cooperative 407
Boomer v. Atlantic Cement Co. 409
Spur Industries, Inc. v. Del E. Webb Development Co. 415
 Problem 26 419

Chapter 6. Strict Liability 423

A. Maintaining Custody of Animals 423
 B. Abnormally Dangerous Activities 427
 Fletcher v. Rylands 427
 Rylands v. Fletcher 430
 Turner v. Big Lake Oil Co. 431
 Siegler v. Kuhlman 434
 PSI Energy, Inc. v. Roberts 439
 Law and Policy: Why Strict Liability? 442
 Foster v. Preston Mill Co. 447
 Problem 27 449

Chapter 7. Products Liability 451

A. Liability for Manufacturing Defects 451
 1. The Plaintiff’s Prima Facie Case: Doctrinal Theories of Liability 452
 a. Negligence 452
 MacPherson v. Buick Motor Co. 453
 b. Breach of Warranty 455
 Henningsen v. Bloomfield Motors, Inc. 458
 c. Strict Liability in Tort 464
 Vandermark v. Ford Motor Co. 465
 Note: Circumstantial Proof of Defect 469
 The Relationship Between Tort and Contract: Products Liability and Recovery for Pure Economic Loss 471
 Law and Policy: Policy Objectives Supporting Strict Liability in Tort for Defective Products 474
 James A. Henderson, Jr., Coping with the Time Dimension in Products Liability 474
 Problem 28 479
 2. The Plaintiff’s Prima Facie Case: Causation 480
 a. Actual Causation (Cause-in-Fact) 480
 b. Proximate (Legal) Causation 482
 Union Pump Co. v. Allbritton 482
 Postscript on Causation and *Daubert* 485
 3. Affirmative Defenses Based on Plaintiff’s Conduct 487
 Murray v. Fairbanks Morse 488
 B. Liability for Defective Product Designs 494
 McCormack v. Hanksraft Co. 496
 Troja v. Black & Decker Manufacturing Co. 503

	<i>Parish v. JumpKing, Inc.</i>	508
	Problem 29	512
	Note: Design Defect and <i>Daubert</i>	513
	<i>Heaton v. Ford Motor Co.</i>	514
	<i>Soule v. General Motors Corp.</i>	518
	Problem 30	524
C.	Liability for Failure to Instruct or Warn	524
	<i>Shekells v. AGV Corp.</i>	525
	Problem 31	530
	<i>Gray v. Badger Mining Corp.</i>	531
D.	Statutory Reform of Products Liability	542
	1. Reform in State Legislatures	542
	2. Reform in the United States Congress	543

Chapter 8. Damages 545

A.	Compensatory Damages	545
	1. Personal Injury	545
	a. Medical Expenses	545
	<i>Williams v. Bright</i>	546
	<i>Coyne v. Campbell</i>	552
	Problem 32	556
	b. Lost Earnings and Impairment of Earning Capacity	557
	(1) The Basic Measure of Recovery	557
	<i>Ruzzi v. Butler Petroleum Company</i>	558
	<i>Mauro v. Raymark Industries, Inc.</i>	562
	<i>Grayson v. Irvmar Realty Corp.</i>	567
	(2) Adjustments in Reaching the Final Recovery Figure	571
	Problem 33	575
	c. Pain, Suffering, and Other Intangible Elements	575
	<i>Walters v. Hitchcock</i>	575
	<i>McDougald v. Garber</i>	580
	Problem 34	586
	<i>Jones v. Harris</i>	595
	Mechanisms for Resolving Disputes: Settlement	600
	Donald G. Gifford, A Context-Based Theory of Strategy Selection in Legal Negotiations	602
	The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Problems of the Plaintiff's Lawyer in the Settlement Process	606
	2. Wrongful Death	609
	3. Injury to Personal Property	609
B.	Punitive Damages	610
	<i>State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company v. Campbell</i>	612

Chapter 9. The Role of Liability Insurance in the Torts Process 623

- A. The Nature and Functions of Insurance Generally 624
 - Kenneth S. Abraham, Insurance Law and Regulation: Cases and Materials 624
- B. Viewing the Tort System from a Loss-Distribution Perspective 627
 - Kenneth S. Abraham, Twenty-First Century Insurance and Loss Distribution in Tort Law 627
- C. The Effects of Liability Insurance on the Torts Process 633
 - 1. The Insurance Company as the Real Party in Interest 634
 - Shingleton v. Bussey* 634
 - 2. Conflicts of Interest in the Defense and Settlement of Tort Claims 638
 - Crisci v. Security Insurance Co.* 639
 - Problem 35 644
 - The Lawyer's Professional Responsibility: Conflicts of Interest in Liability Insurance Cases 645

Chapter 10. Compensation Systems as Alternatives to the System of Tort Liability Based on Fault 647

- A. Workers' Compensation 648
 - Anderson v. Save-A-Lot, Ltd.* 650
 - Problem 36 655
 - Laidlow v. Hariton Machinery Co., Inc.* 656
- B. Compensation for Victims of Automobile Accidents 663
 - McKenzie v. Auto Club Insurance Association* 665
- C. No-Fault: Beyond Work- and Automobile-Related Accident Losses 672
 - 1. Medical No-Fault 672
 - 2. More Sweeping Compensation Systems: The New Zealand Experience 674
 - 3. American Responses to National Disasters: The September 11th Victim Compensation Fund 677

Chapter 11. Dignitary Wrongs and Intentional Infliction of Mental Upset 681

- A. Offensive Battery 681
 - Note: A Postscript on Intent 682
- B. Assault 683
 - Read v. Coker* 683
 - Beach v. Hancock* 684
- C. False Imprisonment 685
 - Whittaker v. Sanford* 685
 - Rougeau v. Firestone Tire & Rubber Co.* 687
 - Coblyn v. Kennedy's, Inc.* 688
 - Sindle v. New York City Transit Authority* 691

D. Intentional Infliction of Mental Upset	692
William L. Prosser, Insult and Outrage	692
Calvert Magruder, Mental and Emotional Disturbances in the Law of Torts	693
<i>State Rubbish Collectors Association v. Siliznoff</i>	695
<i>Samms v. Eccles</i>	700
<i>Taylor v. Metzger</i>	702
<i>Brandon v. County of Richardson</i>	708
<i>Ford v. Revlon, Inc.</i>	711
<i>Jones v. Clinton</i>	716
Problem 37	720

Chapter 12. Defamation 723

A. The Traditional Law	723
1. What Constitutes Defamation	724
a. The General Standard	724
b. Interpretation of the Statement	728
2. Remedies	730
a. Damages	730
(1) Special Damages	730
(2) General Damages	731
(3) Punitive Damages	731
b. Retraction	732
c. Injunctions	732
3. The Libel-Slander Distinction	733
a. Slander	734
b. Libel	735
4. Publication	736
5. The Basis of Liability	738
6. Defenses	739
a. Privilege	740
b. Truth	745
7. Judge, Jury, and Burden of Proof	746
Problem 38	747
B. The Constitutional Issues	749
<i>Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.</i>	753
<i>Milkovich v. Lorain Journal Co.</i>	760
Problem 39	766
C. Legislative Reform of the Law of Defamation	766

Chapter 13. Invasion of Privacy 769

	William L. Prosser, Privacy	769
A. Intrusion	773	
	<i>Hamberger v. Eastman</i>	773
	<i>Shulman v. Group W Productions, Inc.</i>	777

B. Public Disclosure of Private Facts	783	
	<i>Diaz v. Oakland Tribune, Inc.</i>	783
	<i>The Florida Star v. B.J.F.</i>	792
C. False Light	801	
	<i>Godbehere v. Phoenix Newspapers, Inc.</i>	801
D. Appropriation	807	
	<i>ETW Corporation v. Jereh Publishing, Inc.</i>	809

Chapter 14. Commercial Torts: Misrepresentation and Interference with Business Relations 817

A. Misrepresentation	817	
1. The Nature of the Defendant’s Representation	819	
	<i>Adams v. Gillig</i>	819
	<i>Vulcan Metals Co. v. Simmons Manufacturing Co.</i>	822
	<i>Swinton v. Whitinsville Savings Bank</i>	826
	<i>Ingaharro v. Blanchette</i>	827
2. Scienter, Negligence, and Strict Liability	831	
	<i>Derry v. Peek</i>	831
	<i>International Products Co. v. Erie R.R.</i>	834
	<i>Johnson v. Healy</i>	837
3. Reliance and Contributory Negligence	841	
	<i>Pelkey v. Norton</i>	841
	<i>Corva v. United Services Automobile Association</i>	844
4. Liability to Third Persons	846	
	<i>Ultramares Corp. v. Touche</i>	846
B. Interference with Business Relations	853	
1. Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations	853	
	<i>Wilkinson v. Powe</i>	853
2. Intentional Interference with Prospective Contracts	860	
	<i>Tuttle v. Buck</i>	860
	<i>Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Sturges</i>	863
	<i>Baker v. Dennis Brown Realty, Inc.</i>	869
	Note: Wrongful Discharge of Employees at Will	871

Chapter 15. Global Dimensions of Tort Law 875

A. The Extraterritorial Reach of Domestic Law	876	
	<i>Dow Chemical Company v. Castro Alfaro</i>	877
B. The Alien Tort Claims Act	890	
	<i>Sarei v. Rio Tinto, PLC</i>	891
C. Intergovernmental Liability in the Federal Context	902	
	<i>Missouri v. Illinois</i>	902
	<i>Illinois v. Milwaukee</i>	906
D. Intergovernmental Liability in the International Context	909	
	Trail Smelter Arbitral Tribunal	911

Nuclear Tests (<i>New Zealand v. France</i>)	916
Problem 40	919
<i>Appendix: Introduction to Economic Analysis of Tort Law</i>	921
<i>Table of Cases</i>	929
<i>Index</i>	941