



Contents

<i>Preface</i>	<i>xxiii</i>
<i>Acknowledgments</i>	<i>xxv</i>

<i>Introduction</i>	<i>1</i>
---------------------	----------

PART I
The Nature and Evolution of Environmental Law

 <i>I</i>	<i>The Nature of Environmental Problems</i>	<i>7</i>
--	---	----------

A. Pollution	8
1. Air Pollution	8
2. Water Pollution	11
3. Solid and Hazardous Wastes	13
4. Toxic Chemicals	15
B. Overuse of Natural Resources	16
C. Ecosystem Degradation	18
D. Implications for Environmental Law	21
Richard J. Lazarus, Restoring What’s Environmental about Environmental Law in the Supreme Court	22
Notes and Questions about Lazarus Article	25
A Continuing Case Study—Climate Disruption	25

Common Law Solutions

31

A.	Common Law Approaches—From Strict Rights to Balance	32
1.	Trespass	32
2.	Nuisance	34
	Trespass and Nuisance Review Problem	36
B.	The Promise and Limits of Common Law Solutions	36
1.	The Common Law Tradition	36
	<i>Madison et al. v. Ducktown Sulphur, Copper & Iron Co., Ltd.</i>	37
	Notes and Questions on <i>Madison v. Ducktown</i>	40
	<i>Missouri v. Illinois</i>	44
	Notes and Questions on <i>Missouri v. Illinois</i>	45
2.	Common Law Goals and Coase	46
	R.H. Coase, The Problem of Social Cost	47
	Notes and Questions on Coase	48
3.	More Recent Applications of Common Law	49
	<i>Boomer et al. v. Atlantic Cement Co., Inc.</i>	49
	Notes and Questions on <i>Boomer</i>	53
	<i>Anderson v. W.R. Grace & Co.</i>	53
	Notes and Questions on <i>Anderson v. W.R. Grace</i>	61
	Climate Disruption Common Law Review Problem	62
4.	The Adequacy of the Common Law and a Response to Coase	65
	Garret Hardin, The Tragedy of the Commons	65
	Notes and Questions on Hardin	67

From Common Law to Administrative Law

69

A.	The Idea of Statutory Schemes	70
1.	<i>Boomer v. Atlantic Cement</i> : A Reprise	70
2.	Statutory Responses: The Clean Air Act as an Example	72
a.	Setting Standards for Clean Air	72
b.	Setting Standards for Particular Source Controls	73
c.	Planning for Overall Air Quality	73
B.	The Role of Administrative Agencies and Judicial Review	73
1.	The Scope of Administrative Agency Authority (Delegation and Nondelegation)	74
2.	Agency Decision Procedures and the Role of Outside Parties	75
	Notes and Questions on Agency Procedures	77
3.	The Role of the Courts	78
a.	The Availability of Judicial Review	79
b.	Forcing Agency Action	80
c.	Challenges on the Merits—Standards of Judicial Review	82
	<i>Citizens to Preserve Overton Park v. Volpe</i>	83
	Notes and Questions on <i>Overton Park</i>	88

	<i>Chevron, U.S.A. v. Natural Resources Defense Council</i>	90
	Notes and Questions on <i>Chevron</i>	93
	Climate Disruption Administrative Law Problem	94
C.	Recapitulation: The Residual Role of the Common Law in a Statutory World	96
	<i>Illinois v. City of Milwaukee</i>	97
	Notes and Questions on <i>Illinois v. City of Milwaukee</i>	98
	<i>City of Milwaukee v. Illinois</i>	98
	Notes and Questions on <i>Milwaukee II</i>	104
	Common Law Preemption Problem	105

4

*The Goals and Objectives of Environmental
Statutes*

A.	What Should the Goals of Environmental Law Be?	108
	1. Ecology, Rights, and Ethics	108
	2. Human Health and Prevention	110
	3. Economic Efficiency	111
	4. Equity and Environmental Justice	112
	5. Sustainable Development	113
	Notes and Questions on Goals	110
B.	The Goals of Some of the Major Federal Environmental Statutes	114
	1. The Clean Water Act	114
	Notes and Questions on Clean Water Act Goals	115
	2. The Clean Air Act	117
	Notes and Questions on Clean Air Act Goals	118
	3. The Toxic Substances Control Act	118
	Notes and Questions on Toxic Substances Control Act	119
	4. The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	119
	Notes and Questions Resource Conservation and Recovery Act	120
	5. The National Environmental Policy Act	121
	Notes and Questions on the National Environmental Policy Act	122

PART II

Goals for Particular Regulations

5

Effects-Based Standards

A.	Defining “Effects”—Concepts of Risk and Precaution	128
	<i>Ethyl Corporation v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	128
	Notes and Questions on <i>Ethyl Corporation</i>	133

B.	Ambient Effects-Based Standards	134
1.	National Ambient Air Quality Standards	135
	<i>Lead Industries Association, Inc. v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	135
	Notes and Questions on <i>Lead Industries</i>	140
2.	Critiques of National Ambient Air Quality Standards	142
	James E. Krier, The Irrational National Air Quality Standards: Macro- and Micro-Mistakes	142
	Notes and Questions on Krier Critique	143
3.	Water Quality Standards	144
	<i>PUD No. 1 of Jefferson County v. Washington Dept. of Ecology</i>	145
	Notes and Questions on <i>Jefferson County</i>	149
C.	Effects-Based Output Standards (Release Limits)	152
	<i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA</i> (1987)	152
	<i>Natural Resources Defense Council v. EPA</i> (2008)	158
	Notes and Questions on the Section 112 Cases	160
D.	Issues in Risk Assessment and Decision Making	162
	Climate Disruption, Toxics, and Risk	163
	An Atrazine Risk Assessment Problem	164
E.	Postscript: A Segue from Effects-Based to Technology-Based Regulation	168
	<i>Industrial Union Department, AFL-CIO v. American Petroleum Institute</i>	168
	Notes and Questions on Benzene	182
	6	Technology-Based Standard Setting
		185
A.	Feasibility	185
	<i>American Textile Manufacturers Institute, Inc. v. Donovan [Cotton Dust Case]</i>	186
	Notes and Questions on the <i>Cotton Dust Case</i>	189
1.	Technical Feasibility	190
a.	Demonstrated Technology	190
	<i>National Lime Association v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	190
	Notes and Questions on <i>National Lime</i>	197
	A Note on the Follow-the-Leader Principle, the Problem of Categorization, and Variances	198
	A Note on the Value of Uniformity	201
b.	Technology-Forcing	201
	<i>Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc. v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	202
	Notes and Questions on <i>NRDC v. EPA</i> and Technology Forcing	206

2. Economic Feasibility	206
<i>Association of Pacific Fisheries v. EPA</i>	208
Notes and Questions on <i>Pac. Fisheries</i> and Economic Feasibility	211
B. The Variety of Technology-Based Standards	217
Technology-Based Standard Setting Sample Problem	218
C. Technology-Based Standard Setting's Record	221



Cost-Benefit Approaches

225

A. CBA in the Toxic Substances Control Act and the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act, and the Safe Drinking Water Act	227
Asbestos; Manufacture, Importation, Processing, and Distribution in Commerce Prohibitions	229
<i>Corrosion Proof Fittings v. EPA</i>	233
Notes and Questions on <i>Corrosion Proof Fittings</i> , TSCA, FIFRA, and the SDWA	242
B. Review under the Executive Orders	244
<i>Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper, Inc.</i>	246
Notes and Questions on <i>Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper</i>	255
C. The Policy Debate	255
1. The Supermandate Idea	255
2. Priority Setting and Dollars per Life Saved Tables	255
3. Tradeoffs	256
4. Quantifying Risk and Ecological Harm	256
5. Preferences and Statistical Life	257
6. Transparency	258
7. Comprehensive Rationality	258
Climate Disruption Problem: A Cost-Benefit Analysis of Vehicle Standards for Carbon Dioxide	259

PART III


The Means of Environmental Protection



Traditional Regulation

267

A. Performance Standards	267
<i>Sierra Club v. Costle</i>	268
Notes and Questions on the Performance Standard in <i>Sierra Club v. Costle</i>	269



	Notes and Questions on Technological Innovation	269
	Richard Stewart, Regulation, Innovation, and Administrative Law: A Conceptual Framework	270
B.	Work Practice Standards	271
	<i>Adamo Wrecking Co. v. United States</i>	272
	Notes and Questions on <i>Adamo Wrecking</i> and Work Practice Standards	277
C.	Bans	278
1.	Bans as a Method of Pollution Control	278
2.	The Endangered Species Act	280
	<i>Tennessee Valley Authority v. Hill</i>	280
	Notes and Questions on the Endangered Species Act as a Ban	291
a.	Section 7 Flexibility	292
b.	Exception to Section 9 Prohibition on Taking Species	295
	 <i>Economic Incentives</i>	297
A.	Eco-Taxes	298
B.	Pollution Trading	299
1.	Offset Programs	300
	<i>Citizens Against the Refinery's Effects v. EPA</i>	301
	Notes and Questions on <i>Citizens Against the Refinery's Effects</i>	304
2.	Cap and Trade	305
	Byron Swift, Command Without Control: Why Cap-and- Trade Should Replace Rate Standards for Regional Pollutants	305
	Notes and Questions on the Acid Rain Program	308
3.	The Hybrid Model and Climate Disruption	310
	Offset Problem	311
4.	Policy Issues	313
a.	Environmental Justice, Hot Spots, and Currency	313
	James Salzman & J.B. Ruhl, Currencies and the Commodification of Environmental Law	314
	Notes and Questions on Hot Spots and Currency	316
b.	Emissions Trading Versus Best Available Technology	317
	Bruce A. Ackerman & Richard B. Stewart, Comment: Reforming Environmental Law	317
	Notes and Questions on the Ackerman and Stewart Critique	321



Information-Based Approaches

323

A.	Information in Government Environmental Decision Making	323
	1. NEPA and Its Analogues	323
	<i>Robertson v. Methow Valley Citizens Council</i>	325
	Notes and Questions on <i>Robertson v. Methow Valley</i> <i>Citizen Council</i>	333
	a. Alternative Analysis	336
	<i>California v. Block</i>	337
	The Roadless Saga Continues	340
	<i>Kootenai Tribe of Idaho v. Veneman</i>	340
	Notes and Questions on the Roadless Area Cases	346
	A Note on Alternatives and Mitigation in the Wetlands and Endangered Species Context	348
	Oliver A. Houck, Hard Choices: The Analysis of Alternatives under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act and Similar Environmental Laws	350
	b. Tiering, Timing, and Scope	350
	<i>Kleppe v. Sierra Club</i>	351
	Notes and Questions on <i>Kleppe</i> , Cumulative Impacts, and Tiering	357
	c. Mitigating Environmental Injustice and Cumulative Impacts	359
	Council of Environmental Quality, Guidance Under the National Environmental Policy Act	359
	Department of Transportation (DOT) Order to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations	360
	d. NEPA's Record	361
	2. TSCA and FIFRA Testing Requirements and Other Provisions Informing Pollution Control Decisions	362
	Problem: Testing Requirements for Atrazine under FIFRA	365
	Notes and Questions on the Atrazine Information Requirements	367
B.	Information Motivating Private Action	368
	1. Community Right to Know	368
	2. Proposition 65 and Labeling	369
	3. Radon	369
	4. Green Lights	369
	5. Certification Regimes	370
	6. Some Theoretical Perspectives on Information-Based Regimes SEC Disclosure Problem; Atrazine and Climate Disruption	372
	Notes and Questions about Syngenta's SEC Disclosure	374



	<i>Moving Upstream: Pollution Prevention and Recycling</i>	375
A.	The RCRA Scheme	378
B.	The Solid Waste Definition and Recycling Policy	381
	Hazardous Waste Management System: General;	
	Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste;	
	Standards Applicable to Owners and Operators of	
	Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal	
	Facilities; Interim Status Standards for Owners and	
	Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and	
	Disposal Facilities; and Standards for the Management	
	of Specific Wastes and Management Standards for	
	Specific Types of Facilities	382
	Notes and Questions on EPA's Definition	388
	<i>American Mining Congress v. EPA</i>	389
	Notes and Questions on <i>American Mining Congress</i>	394
	A Note on American Mining Congress' Progeny and	
	Subsequent EPA Rules	394
C.	RCRA, CERCLA, and the Role of Regulatory Liability in Pollution Prevention	396
	Atrazine Source Reduction Problem	399
	Notes and Questions on Liability and Source Reduction	398
	<i>Environmental Restoration</i>	403
A.	The Purpose and Role of Restoration	403
	1. Whether Restoration?	403
	Notes and Questions on When Restoration Is Proper	404
	2. Whither Restoration?	404
	Robert W. Adler, Restoring Colorado River Ecosystems: A	
	Troubled Sense of Immensity	405
	Notes and Questions on the Nature of Restoration	406
	A Note on Terminology	406
B.	Remediation of Contaminated Waste Sites	407
	1. Site Remediation under CERCLA	407
	<i>State of Ohio v. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	409
	Notes and Questions on <i>Ohio v. EPA</i>	414
C.	Compensatory Restoration as a Condition of Future Development	415
	1. Reclamation under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act	416
	<i>National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel</i>	417

	Notes and Questions on <i>National Wildlife Federation v. Hodel</i>	419
2.	Compensatory Mitigation under Clean Water Act Section 404 National Research Council, Compensating for Wetland Losses under the Clean Water Act (2001)	421
	Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources, 73 Fed. Reg. 19,594, 19,594-95 (April 10, 2008)	421
	Compensatory Mitigation Problem	422
	Notes and Questions on Compensatory Mitigation	425
D.	Large-Scale Ecosystem Restoration	426
	The State of the Colorado River Ecosystem in Grand Canyon: A Report of the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center 1991-2004, U.S.G.S. Circular 1282 (2005)	427
	<i>Grand Canyon Trust v. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation</i>	430
	Notes and Questions on <i>Grand Canyon Trust</i>	439
	A Note on Climate Disruption and Restoration	441

PART IV Allocation of Responsibility



	<i>Private Cleanup Responsibility</i>	445
A.	Apportioning Statutory Liability for Environmental Harm	446
1.	Strict Liability under CERCLA	447
	<i>State of New York v. Shore Realty</i>	447
	Notes and Questions on <i>Shore Realty</i>	452
2.	Joint and Several Liability under CERCLA	457
	<i>O'Neil v. Picillo</i>	457
	Notes and Questions on <i>O'Neil v. Picillo</i>	463
3.	Categories of Responsible Parties	466
	<i>United States v. Bestfoods</i>	466
	Notes and Questions on <i>Bestfoods</i>	471
	<i>American Cyanamid Co. v. Capuano</i>	474
	Notes and Questions on <i>American Cyanamid</i>	479
	<i>Burlington Northern and Santa Fe Railway Company v. United States</i>	481
	Notes and Questions on <i>Burlington Northern</i>	485
	Atrazine Superfund Liability and Counseling Problem	486

 14	<i>Allocation of Government Responsibility</i>	489
A.	Introduction	489
B.	The Congressional Role: To Delegate or Not to Delegate	492
	1. Tailpipe Emission Standards	493
	2. Acid Rain Controls	495
	Notes and Questions about Direct Congressional Adoption of Environmental Standards	496
C.	Federal versus State and Local Control	497
	1. Setting Effects-Based Standards	498
	<i>Mississippi Commission on Natural Resources v. Costle</i>	498
	Notes and Questions on <i>Mississippi Commission</i>	501
	2. Output Standards and State Planning	501
	a. Attaining Ambient Environmental Standards: SIPs and TMDLs	502
	<i>Union Electric Co. v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	504
	Notes and Questions on <i>Union Electric</i> and SIPs	507
	b. Assessment of the SIP Process	509
	Arnold W. Reitze, Jr., Air Quality Protection Using State Implementation Plans—Thirty-Seven Years of Increasing Complexity	510
	Notes and Questions on Reitze Critique	514
	c. The Clean Water Act Planning Process	515
	<i>Pronsolino v. Nastri</i>	515
	<i>Friends of Pinto Creek v. U.S. EPA</i>	521
	Notes and Questions on <i>Pronsolino</i> and <i>Pinto Creek</i>	524
	Problem: An Atrazine TMDL	526
	A Note on the Scope and Coverage of Federal Regulation	528
	3. Delegating Federal Programs to States	532
	<i>Save the Bay v. Administrator, EPA</i>	532
	Notes and Questions on <i>Save the Bay</i>	537
D.	Enforcing State Obligations	540
	<i>New York v. United States</i>	540
	Notes and Questions on <i>New York</i>	551
	Federalism and Climate Disruption Revisited	552
 15	<i>Decision Making for International Environmental Problems</i>	553
	Trail Smelter Arbitral Tribunal Decision	553
	Trail Smelter Arbitral Decision	554
	Notes and Questions on the <i>Trail Smelter</i> Case	561
A.	Regional Environmental Problems	561

	Jack P. Manno, <i>Advocacy and Diplomacy: NGOs and the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement</i>	562
	Notes and Questions on the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement	566
B.	Global Environmental Problems	567
1.	The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change	568
	Daniel Bodansky, <i>The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change: A Commentary</i>	568
	Notes and Questions on the Framework Convention on Climate Change	569
2.	The Kyoto Protocol to the Framework Convention on Climate Change	570
	Notes and Questions on the Kyoto Protocol	571
3.	The Copenhagen Accord	572
	<i>Copenhagen Accord</i>	572
	Notes and Questions on the Copenhagen Accord	575
C.	Compliance and Enforcement	575
	Abram Chayes, Antonia Handler Chayes & Ronald B. Mitchell, <i>Managing Compliance: A Comparative Perspective</i>	576
	Notes and Questions on Compliance and Enforcement	584
D.	Trade and the Environment	585
	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade: Dispute Settlement Panel Report on United States—Restrictions on Imports of Tuna	586
	Notes and Questions on <i>Tuna/Dolphin</i>	591
	A Note on Subsequent Trade and Environment Cases	592
	A Problem in the International Relations of Climate Disruption	595

PART V Enforcement

	Clifford Rechtschaffen, <i>Deterrence vs. Cooperation and the Evolving Theory of Environmental Enforcement</i>	598
	<i>Detecting Violations: Permitting, Monitoring, Record Keeping, and Reporting</i>	605
A.	Permitting	605
	<i>Atlantic States Legal Found. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i>	606
	Notes and Questions on <i>Atlantic States</i>	609
	<i>Northwest Env'tl. Advocates v. City of Portland</i>	610
	Notes and Questions on <i>Northwest Environmental Advocates</i>	614
B.	Monitoring, Record Keeping, and Reporting	614

1. Monitoring	615
<i>United States Steel Corp. v. Train</i>	615
Notes and Questions on <i>U.S. Steel</i>	617
A Note on the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments	618
2. Reporting	619
A Note on Audit Privileges	620
17	<i>Government Enforcement</i>
	623
A. Civil Penalties	623
1. Maximum Penalty	624
2. Gravity-Based Penalty	624
3. Economic Benefit	624
4. Collection	625
A Note on Supplemental Environmental Projects	626
B. Criminal Penalties	628
<i>United States v. Weitzenhoff</i>	628
Notes and Questions on Knowing Violations	635
<i>United States v. Ahmad</i>	636
Additional Notes on the “Knowingly Violated” Standard	640
Climate Disruption Enforcement Problem	641
18	<i>Citizen Enforcement</i>
	643
A. Relationship to Government Enforcement	643
<i>Jones v. City of Lakeland</i>	645
Notes and Questions on <i>Jones</i>	646
B. Standing and the <i>Gwaltney</i> Problem	647
<i>Gwaltney of Smithfield, Ltd. v. Chesapeake Bay</i>	
<i>Foundation, Inc.</i>	648
Notes and Questions on <i>Gwaltney</i>	651
<i>Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife</i>	653
Notes and Questions on <i>Defenders of Wildlife</i>	664
<i>Steel Company v. Citizens for a Better Environment</i>	665
<i>Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental</i>	
<i>Services, Inc.</i>	669
Notes and Questions on <i>Laidlaw</i> and <i>Steel Company</i>	678
C. Attorneys’ Fees	679
D. Enforcement: A General Assessment	681
<i>Table of Cases</i>	683
<i>Index</i>	689