

CONTENTS

Preface	xxxv
Acknowledgments	xxxix
The Constitution of the United States	xli

CHAPTER 1

THE FEDERAL JUDICIAL POWER	1
A. The Authority for Judicial Review	1
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	2
Notes on <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	8
Authority for Judicial Review of State Judgments	10
<i>Martin v. Hunter's Lessee</i>	10
<i>Cohens v. Virginia</i>	10
B. Limits on the Federal Judicial Power	11
1. Interpretive Limits	11
How Should the Constitution Be Interpreted?	
The Second Amendment as an Example	13
<i>District of Columbia v. Heller</i>	13
2. Congressional Limits	34
The Exceptions and Regulations Clause	34
<i>Ex parte McCordle</i>	35
Notes on <i>Ex parte McCordle</i>	37
Separation of Powers as a Limit on Congress's Authority	37
<i>United States v. Klein</i>	37
Notes on <i>United States v. Klein</i>	39
<i>Robertson v. Seattle Audubon Society</i>	39
3. Justiciability Limits	40
a. Prohibition of Advisory Opinions	42
Opinion of the Justices	42
<i>Hayburn's Case</i>	42
<i>Plaut v. Spendthrift Farm, Inc.</i>	43
Notes on Advisory Opinions	44
<i>Nashville, C. & St. L. Ry. v. Wallace</i>	45
b. Standing	45
i. Constitutional Standing Requirements	46
<i>Allen v. Wright</i>	46
<i>Massachusetts v. Environmental Protection Agency</i>	53
Notes on Constitutional Standing Requirements: Injury, Causation, and Redressability	60

	City of Los Angeles v. Lyons	60
	Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife	62
	United States v. Hays	65
	Federal Election Commn. v. Akins	66
	Causation and Redressability	66
	Linda R. S. v. Richard D.	67
	Warth v. Seldin	67
	Simon v. Eastern Kentucky Welfare Rights Organization	67
	Duke Power Co. v. Carolina Environmental Study Group, Inc.	68
ii.	Prudential Standing Requirements	68
	The Prohibition of Third-Party Standing	69
	<i>Singleton v. Wulff</i>	69
	Barrows v. Jackson	71
	Craig v. Boren	72
	Gilmore v. Utah	72
	<i>Elk Grove Unified School Dist. v. Newdow</i>	72
	The Prohibition of Generalized Grievances	77
	<i>United States v. Richardson</i>	77
	<i>Flast v. Cohen</i>	81
	<i>Hein v. Freedom from Religion Foundation</i>	84
c.	Ripeness	92
	<i>Poe v. Ullman</i>	92
	<i>Abbott Laboratories v. Gardner</i>	95
	United Public Workers v. Mitchell	96
	International Longshoremen's & Warehousemen's Union, Local 37 v. Boyd	96
	Regional Rail Reorganization Act Cases	97
	Lake Carriers Assn. v. MacMullan	97
d.	Mootness	97
	Moore v. Ogilvie	98
	Roe v. Wade	99
	DeFunis v. Odegaard	99
	<i>Friends of the Earth, Inc. v. Laidlaw Environmental Services</i>	100
	<i>United States Parole Commn. v. Geraghty</i>	101
e.	The Political Question Doctrine	103
i.	The Political Question Doctrine Defined	103
	What Is a Political Question? The Issues of Malapportionment and Partisan Gerrymandering	103
	<i>Baker v. Carr</i>	104
	<i>Vieth v. Jubelirer</i>	107
ii.	The Political Question Doctrine Applied: Congressional Self-Governance	116
	<i>Powell v. McCormack</i>	116
iii.	The Political Question Doctrine Applied: Foreign Policy	118
	<i>Goldwater v. Carter</i>	119

iv. The Political Question Doctrine Applied: Impeachment and Removal	121
<i>Nixon v. United States</i>	121

CHAPTER 2

THE FEDERAL LEGISLATIVE POWER	127
A. Introduction: Congress and the States	127
The Framework for Analysis: <i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	128
<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	129
What Role Should Concern over Protecting States Have in Defining Congress's Powers?	138
B. The Commerce Power	141
1. The Initial Era: <i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i> Defines the Commerce Power	142
<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	142
2. The 1890s-1937: A Limited Federal Commerce Power	145
a. What Is "Commerce"?	146
<i>United States v. E.C. Knight Co.</i>	146
<i>Carter v. Carter Coal Co.</i>	147
b. What Does "Among the States" Mean?	149
<i>Houston, East & West Texas Railway Co. v. United States</i>	149
<i>A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States</i>	151
Does the Tenth Amendment Limit Congressional Powers?	154
<i>Hammer v. Dagenhart</i>	154
<i>Champion v. Ames</i>	158
3. 1937-1990s: Broad Federal Commerce Power	159
Key Decisions Changing the Commerce Clause Doctrine	160
<i>NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	160
<i>United States v. Darby</i>	164
<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	166
The Meaning of "Commerce Among the States"	168
<i>Heart of Atlanta Motel, Inc. v. United States</i>	169
<i>Katzenbach v. McClung, Sr. & McClung, Jr.</i>	171
<i>Hodel v. Indiana</i>	173
<i>Perez v. United States</i>	173
The Tenth Amendment Between 1937 and the 1990s	174
<i>National League of Cities v. Usery</i>	175
<i>Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority</i>	178
4. 1990s-????: Narrowing of the Commerce Power and Revival of the Tenth Amendment as a Constraint on Congress	183
a. What Is Congress's Authority to Regulate "Commerce Among the States"?	184

	<i>United States v. Lopez</i>	184
	<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	196
	<i>Pierce County, Washington v. Guillen</i>	203
	<i>Gonzales v. Raich</i>	205
b.	Does the Tenth Amendment Limit Congress's Authority?	216
	<i>New York v. United States</i>	216
	<i>Printz v. United States</i>	226
	<i>Reno v. Condon</i>	236
C.	The Taxing and Spending Power	238
	For What Purposes May Congress Tax and Spend?	238
	<i>United States v. Butler</i>	239
	Chas. C. Steward Mach. Co. v. Davis	241
	<i>Sabri v. United States</i>	242
	Conditions on Grants to State Governments	245
	<i>South Dakota v. Dole</i>	245
D.	Congress's Powers Under the Post-Civil War Amendments	248
1.	Whom May Congress Regulate Under the Post-Civil War Amendments?	248
	<i>United States v. Morrison</i>	250
2.	What Is the Scope of Congress's Power?	253
	<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan & Morgan</i>	253
	<i>City of Boerne v. Flores</i>	258
E.	Congress's Power to Authorize Suits Against State Governments	264
1.	Background on the Eleventh Amendment and State Sovereign Immunity	264
2.	Congress's Power to Authorize Suits Against State Governments	266
a.	The Basic Rule: Congress May Authorize Suits Against States Pursuant Only to § 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment	266
	<i>Fitzpatrick v. Bitzer</i>	266
	<i>Seminole Tribe of Florida v. Florida</i>	268
b.	Cases Denying Congress Authority to Act Under § 5 to Authorize Suits Against State Governments	273
	Florida Prepaid Postsecondary Education Expense Board v. College Savings Bank & United States	273
	Kimel v. Florida Board of Regents	278
	Board of Trustees, University of Alabama v. Garrett	282
c.	Congress's Greater Authority to Legislate Concerning Types of Discrimination and Rights That Receive Heightened Scrutiny	289
	Nevada Department of Human Resources v. Hibbs	289
	Tennessee v. Lane	295
	<i>United States v. Georgia</i>	302

3. Congress's Power to Authorize Suits Against State Governments in State Courts	303
<i>Alden v. Maine</i>	303

CHAPTER 3

THE FEDERAL EXECUTIVE POWER	317
A. Inherent Presidential Power	317
Introduction	317
<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer</i>	318
The Scope of Inherent Power: The Issue of Executive Privilege	327
<i>United States v. Richard M. Nixon, President of the United States</i>	329
B. The Authority of Congress to Increase Executive Power	334
<i>William J. Clinton, President of the United States v. City of New York</i>	335
C. The Constitutional Problems of the Administrative State	340
1. The Non-Delegation Doctrine and Its Demise	341
<i>A.L.A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States</i>	341
<i>Panama Refining Co. v. Ryan</i>	342
<i>Whitman v. American Trucking Assn., Inc.</i>	344
2. The Legislative Veto and Its Demise	347
<i>Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Jagdish Rai Chadha</i>	347
3. Checking Administrative Power	355
The Appointment Power	356
<i>Alexia Morrison, Independent Counsel v. Theodore B. Olson</i>	356
The Removal Power	361
The Impeachment of Andrew Johnson	361
<i>Myers v. United States</i>	362
<i>Humphrey's Executor v. United States</i>	363
<i>Wiener v. United States</i>	364
<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i>	366
<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	367
D. Separation of Powers and Foreign Policy	369
1. Are Foreign Policy and Domestic Affairs Different?	370
<i>United States v. Curtiss-Wright Export Corp.</i>	370
Notes on <i>Curtiss-Wright</i>	372
2. Treaties and Executive Agreements	373
<i>Dames & Moore v. Regan, Secretary of the Treasury</i>	374
3. War Powers	377
Title 50. War and National Defense;	
Chapter 33—War Powers Resolution	378

E.	Presidential Power and the War on Terrorism	381
1.	Detentions	381
	<i>Hamdi v. Rumsfeld</i>	382
	<i>Boumediene v. Bush</i>	393
2.	Military Tribunals	412
	Ex parte Quirin	412
F.	Checks on the President	420
1.	Suing and Prosecuting the President	420
	<i>Richard Nixon v. A. Ernest Fitzgerald</i>	420
	<i>William Jefferson Clinton v. Paula Corbin Jones</i>	423
2.	Impeachment	427

CHAPTER 4

LIMITS ON STATE REGULATORY AND TAXING POWER 433

A.	Preemption of State and Local Laws	434
1.	Express Preemption	436
	<i>Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Reilly</i>	436
2.	Implied Preemption	443
a.	Conflicts Preemption	443
	<i>Florida Lime & Avocado Growers, Inc. v. Paul, Director, Dept. of Agriculture of California</i>	443
b.	Preemption Because State Law Impedes the Achievement of a Federal Objective	444
	<i>Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. State Energy Resources Conservation & Development Commn.</i>	444
c.	Preemption Because Federal Law Occupies the Field	448
	<i>Hines, Secretary of Labor & Industry of Pennsylvania v. Davidowitz</i>	448
B.	The Dormant Commerce Clause	450
1.	Why a Dormant Commerce Clause?	451
	<i>H.P. Hood & Sons, Inc. v. Du Mond, Commissioner of Agriculture & Markets of New York</i>	452
2.	The Dormant Commerce Clause Before 1938	456
	<i>Aaron B. Cooley v. The Board of Wardens of the Port of Philadelphia</i>	458
3.	The Contemporary Test for the Dormant Commerce Clause	459
a.	The Shift to a Balancing Approach	459
	<i>South Carolina State Highway Dept. v. Barnwell Bros., Inc.</i>	459
	<i>Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona ex rel. Sullivan, Attorney General</i>	461
b.	Determining Whether a Law Is Discriminatory	464
	Facially Discriminatory Laws	464
	<i>City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey</i>	464

<i>C & A Carbone, Inc. v. Town of Clarkstown, New York</i>	467
<i>United Haulers Assn., Inc. v. Oneida-Herkimer Solid Waste Management Authority</i>	471
<i>Hughes v. Oklahoma</i>	478
Facially Neutral Laws	479
<i>Hunt, Governor of the State of North Carolina v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commn.</i>	480
<i>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Maryland</i>	482
<i>West Lynn Creamery, Inc. v. Healy, Commissioner of Massachusetts Dept. of Food & Agriculture</i>	485
<i>State of Minnesota v. Clover Leaf Creamery Co.</i>	487
c. Analysis If a Law Is Deemed Discriminatory	489
<i>Dean Milk Co. v. City of Madison, Wisconsin</i>	489
<i>Maine v. Taylor & United States</i>	490
d. Analysis If a Law Is Deemed Non-Discriminatory	493
<i>Loren J. Pike v. Bruce Church, Inc.</i>	493
<i>Bibb, Director, Dept. of Public Safety of Illinois v. Navajo Freight Lines, Inc.</i>	495
<i>Consolidated Freightways Corp. of Delaware v. Raymond Kassel</i>	497
Summary	500
e. Exceptions to the Dormant Commerce Clause	501
Congressional Approval	501
<i>Western & Southern Life Insurance Co. v. State Board of Equalization of California</i>	502
The Market Participant Exception	503
<i>Reeves, Inc. v. William Stake</i>	504
White v. Massachusetts Council of Construction Employers, Inc.	506
<i>South-Central Timber Development, Inc. v. Commissioner, Dept. of Natural Resources of Alaska</i>	506
C. The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV, § 2	509
1. Introduction	509
2. Analysis Under the Privileges and Immunities Clause	511
What Are the “Privileges and Immunities of Citizenship”?	511
<i>Toomer v. Witsell</i>	513
<i>United Building & Construction Trades Council of Camden County v. Mayor & Council of the City of Camden</i>	514
<i>Lester Baldwin v. Fish & Game Commn. of Montana</i>	516
What Justifications Are Sufficient to Permit Discrimination?	517
<i>Supreme Court of New Hampshire v. Kathryn A. Piper</i>	518

CHAPTER 5

**THE STRUCTURE OF THE CONSTITUTION'S
PROTECTION OF CIVIL RIGHTS AND
CIVIL LIBERTIES** **523**

A.	Introduction	523
B.	The Application of the Bill of Rights to the States	524
1.	The Rejection of Application Before the Civil War	524
	<i>Barron v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore</i>	525
2.	A False Start in Applying the Bill of Rights to the States: The Privileges or Immunities Clause and the <i>Slaughter-</i> <i>House Cases</i>	526
	<i>Slaughter-House Cases: Butchers' Benevolent Assn. of New Orleans v. Crescent City Livestock Landing & Slaughter-House Co.</i>	528
	<i>Saenz v. Roe</i>	534
3.	The Incorporation of the Bill of Rights into the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment	536
	<i>Twining v. New Jersey</i>	536
	The Debate over Incorporation	538
	Palko v. Connecticut	539
	Adamson v. California	540
	The Current Law as to What's Incorporated	543
	<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	543
	The Content of Incorporated Rights	547
C.	The Application of the Bill of Rights and the Constitution to Private Conduct	548
1.	The Requirement for State Action	548
	<i>The Civil Rights Cases: United States v. Stanley</i>	548
2.	The Exceptions to the State Action Doctrine	552
a.	The Public Functions Exception	553
	<i>Marsh v. Alabama</i>	553
	<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	555
	Elections	558
	<i>Terry v. Adams</i>	558
	Private Property Used for Public Purposes	560
	<i>Evans v. Newton</i>	560
	<i>Amalgamated Food Employees Union Local 590 v. Logan Valley Plaza, Inc.</i>	562
	<i>Lloyd Corp. v. Tanner</i>	564
	<i>Hudgens v. National Labor Relations Board</i>	566
b.	The Entanglement Exception	567
	Judicial and Law Enforcement Actions	567
	<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i>	567
	Example: Prejudgment Attachment	570
	<i>Lugar v. Edmondson Oil Co.</i>	570
	Example: Peremptory Challenges	573
	<i>Edmonson v. Leesville Concrete Co.</i>	573
	Government Regulation	575

<i>Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority</i>	575
<i>Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis</i>	577
Government Subsidies	580
<i>Norwood v. Harrison</i>	580
<i>Rendell-Baker v. Kohn</i>	582
<i>Blum v. Yaretsky</i>	585
Initiatives Encouraging Violations of Rights	589
<i>Reitman v. Mulkey</i>	589
Entwinement	593
<i>Brentwood Academy v. Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Assn.</i>	593

CHAPTER 6

ECONOMIC LIBERTIES	601
A. Introduction	601
Historical Overview	601
Organization of the Chapter	603
B. Economic Substantive Due Process	603
1. Introduction	603
2. The Early History of Economic Substantive Due Process	604
3. Substantive Due Process of the <i>Lochner</i> Era	607
<i>Allgeyer v. Louisiana</i>	607
<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	608
Laws Protecting Unionizing	614
<i>Coppage v. Kansas</i>	614
Maximum Hours Laws	616
<i>Muller v. Oregon</i>	617
Minimum Wage Laws	618
<i>Adkins v. Children's Hospital</i>	619
Consumer Protection Legislation	620
<i>Weaver v. Palmer Bros. Co.</i>	620
<i>Nebbia v. New York</i>	622
4. Economic Substantive Due Process Since 1937	623
Pressures for Change	623
The End of <i>Lochnerism</i>	624
<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	625
<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	626
Economic Substantive Due Process Since 1937	628
<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma, Inc.</i>	629
The Rebirth of Economic Due Process?:	
Constitutional Limits on Punitive Damages	631
<i>BMW of North America, Inc. v. Gore</i>	631
<i>State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Co. v. Campbell</i>	635
<i>Philip Morris U.S.A. v. Williams</i>	642
Too Much Deference?	646

C.	The Contracts Clause	647
1.	Introduction	647
2.	The Modern Use of the Contracts Clause	648
	<i>Home Building & Loan Assn. v. Blaisdell</i>	648
	Government Interference with Private Contracts	651
	<i>Energy Reserves Group, Inc. v. Kansas Power & Light Co.</i>	651
	<i>Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus</i>	654
	Government Interference with Government Contracts	658
	<i>United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey</i>	659
D.	The Takings Clause	663
1.	Introduction	663
2.	Is There a “Taking”?	664
	Possessory Takings	665
	<i>Loretto v. Teleprompter Manhattan CATV Corp.</i>	665
	Regulatory Takings	667
	<i>Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon</i>	668
	<i>Miller v. Schoene</i>	670
	<i>Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City</i>	671
	<i>Lucas v. South Carolina Coastal Council</i>	675
	Nollan v. California Coastal Commn.	681
	<i>Dolan v. City of Tigard</i>	681
	<i>Palazzolo v. Rhode Island</i>	686
	<i>Tahoe-Sierra Preservation Council, Inc. v. Tahoe Regional Planning Agency</i>	691
3.	Is It for “Public Use”?	699
	<i>Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff</i>	700
	<i>Kelo v. City of New London</i>	702
4.	What Is the Requirement for “Just Compensation”?	711
	<i>Brown v. Legal Foundation of Washington</i>	711

CHAPTER 7

	EQUAL PROTECTION	717
A.	Introduction	717
1.	Constitutional Provisions Concerning Equal Protection	717
2.	A Framework for Equal Protection Analysis	718
	Question 1: What Is the Classification?	718
	Question 2: What Is the Appropriate Level of Scrutiny?	719
	Question 3: Does the Government Action Meet the Level of Scrutiny?	721
	The Protection of Fundamental Rights Under Equal Protection	722
B.	The Rational Basis Test	723
1.	Introduction	723
2.	Does the Law Have a Legitimate Purpose?	725
	What Constitutes a Legitimate Purpose?	725

	<i>Romer v. Evans</i>	725
	Must It Be the Actual Purpose or Is a Conceivable Purpose Enough?	730
	<i>United States Railroad Retirement Board v. Fritz</i>	731
3.	The Requirement for a “Reasonable Relationship”	734
	Tolerance for Underinclusiveness Under Rational Basis Review	735
	<i>Railway Express Agency, Inc. v. New York</i>	735
	Tolerance for Overinclusiveness Under Rational Basis Review	737
	<i>New York City Transit Authority v. Beazer</i>	738
	Cases Where Laws Are Deemed Arbitrary and Unreasonable	741
	<i>U.S. Dept. of Agriculture v. Moreno</i>	741
	<i>City of Cleburne, Texas v. Cleburne Living Center, Inc.</i>	744
C.	Classifications Based on Race and National Origin	748
1.	Race Discrimination and Slavery Before the Thirteenth and Fourteenth Amendments	749
	Dred Scott v. Sandford	750
	<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	750
	The Post-Civil War Amendments	754
2.	Strict Scrutiny for Discrimination Based on Race and National Origin	754
3.	Proving the Existence of a Race or National Origin Classification	755
a.	Race and National Origin Classifications on the Face of the Law	756
	Race-Specific Classifications That Disadvantage Racial Minorities	756
	<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	756
	Racial Classifications Burdening Both Whites and Minorities	761
	<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	761
	<i>Palmore v. Sidoti</i>	763
	Laws Requiring Separation of the Races	765
	<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	765
	<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	766
	The Initial Attack on “Separate but Equal”	768
	<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i>	769
	<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i>	770
	The Invalidation of Segregation in Other Contexts	773
	<i>Johnson v. California</i>	774
b.	Facially Neutral Laws with a Discriminatory Impact or with Discriminatory Administration	779
	The Requirement for Proof of a Discriminatory Purpose	779
	<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	779
	<i>McCleskey v. Kemp</i>	783
	<i>City of Mobile v. Bolden</i>	789
	Is Proof of a Discriminatory Effect Also Required?	793

	<i>Palmer v. Thompson</i>	793
	How Is a Discriminatory Purpose Proven?	795
	<i>Personnel Administrator of Massachusetts v. Feeney</i>	795
	<i>Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.</i>	797
	Application: Discriminatory Use of Peremptory Challenges	799
4.	Remedies: The Problem of School Segregation	801
	Introduction: The Problem of Remedies	801
	<i>Brown v. Board of Education</i>	802
	Massive Resistance	803
	Judicial Power to Impose Remedies in School	
	Desegregation Cases	806
	<i>Swann v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education</i>	806
	<i>Milliken v. Bradley</i>	810
	When Should Federal Desegregation Remedies End?	813
	<i>Board of Education of Oklahoma City Public Schools v. Dowell</i>	813
	<i>Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School Dist. No. 1</i>	816
5.	Racial Classifications Benefiting Minorities	833
	The Emergence of Strict Scrutiny as the Test	835
	<i>Richmond v. J.A. Croson Co.</i>	835
	The Arguments For and Against Strict Scrutiny	846
	The Use of Race to Benefit Minorities in College and University Admissions	847
	<i>Grutter v. Bollinger</i>	847
	<i>Gratz v. Bollinger</i>	865
	Drawing Election Districts to Increase Minority Representation	873
	<i>Easley v. Cromartie</i>	875
D.	Gender Classifications	878
1.	The Level of Scrutiny	878
	Early Cases Approving Gender Discrimination	880
	The Emergence of Intermediate Scrutiny	881
	<i>Frontiero v. Richardson</i>	882
	<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	884
	<i>United States v. Virginia</i>	888
2.	Proving the Existence of a Gender Classification	892
	When Is It “Discrimination”?	893
	<i>Geduldig v. Aiello</i>	893
3.	Gender Classifications Benefiting Women	896
	Gender Classifications Based on Role Stereotypes	896
	<i>Orr v. Orr</i>	896
	<i>Mississippi University for Women v. Hogan</i>	898
	<i>Michael M. v. Superior Court of Sonoma County</i>	901
	<i>Rostker v. Goldberg</i>	904
	Gender Classifications Benefiting Women as a Remedy	908

	<i>Califano v. Webster</i>	908
	Classifications Benefiting Women Because of Biological Differences Between Men and Women	909
	<i>Nguyen v. Immigration & Naturalization Service</i>	910
E.	Alienage Classifications	917
1.	Strict Scrutiny as the General Rule	918
	<i>Graham v. Richardson</i>	918
2.	Alienage Classifications Related to Self-Government and the Democratic Process	920
	<i>Foley v. Connelie</i>	921
	<i>Ambach v. Norwick</i>	923
3.	Congressionally Approved Discrimination	926
4.	Undocumented Aliens and Equal Protection	927
	<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	927
F.	Discrimination Against Non-Marital Children	933
	Laws Denying Benefits to All Non-Marital Children	934
	Laws That Provide a Benefit to Some Non-Marital Children	935
G.	Other Types of Discrimination: Only Rational Basis Review	936
1.	Age Classifications	937
	<i>Massachusetts Board of Retirement v. Murgia</i>	937
2.	Discrimination Based on Disability	940
3.	Wealth Discrimination	940
4.	Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation	942

CHAPTER 8

	FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS UNDER DUE PROCESS AND EQUAL PROTECTION	943
A.	Introduction	943
	The Concept of Fundamental Rights	943
	The Ninth Amendment	945
	Procedural Due Process	945
B.	Framework for Analyzing Fundamental Rights	946
	First Issue: Is There a Fundamental Right?	946
	Second Issue: Is the Constitutional Right Infringed?	947
	Third Issue: Is There a Sufficient Justification for the Government's Infringement of a Right?	948
	Fourth Issue: Is the Means Sufficiently Related to the Purpose?	949
C.	Constitutional Protection for Family Autonomy	949
1.	The Right to Marry	949
	<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	949
	<i>Zablocki v. Redhail</i>	950
2.	The Right to Custody of One's Children	956

	Stanley v. Illinois	956
	<i>Michael H. v. Gerald D.</i>	958
3.	The Right to Keep the Family Together	964
	<i>Moore v. City of East Cleveland, Ohio</i>	964
4.	The Right of Parents to Control the Upbringing of Their Children	968
	<i>Meyer v. Nebraska</i>	968
	Pierce v. Society of the Sisters of the Holy Names of Jesus & Mary	970
	<i>Troxel v. Granville</i>	972
D.	Constitutional Protection for Reproductive Autonomy	977
1.	The Right to Procreate	977
	Buck v. Bell	977
	<i>Skinner v. Oklahoma</i>	979
2.	The Right to Purchase and Use Contraceptives	980
	<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	980
	<i>Eisenstadt v. Baird</i>	986
3.	The Right to Abortion	988
a.	The Recognition and Reaffirmation of the Right to Abortion	989
	<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	989
	Planned Parenthood v. Casey	997
	<i>Planned Parenthood v. Casey</i>	998
b.	Government Regulation of Abortions	1010
	<i>Gonzales v. Carhart</i>	1010
c.	Government Restrictions on Funds and Facilities for Abortions	1026
	<i>Maher v. Roe</i>	1026
	Harris v. McRae	1028
d.	Spousal Consent and Notice Requirements	1030
	Planned Parenthood v. Danforth	1030
	<i>Planned Parenthood v. Casey</i>	1031
e.	Parental Notice and Consent Requirements	1036
	<i>Bellotti v. Baird</i>	1036
E.	Constitutional Protection for Medical Care Decisions	1040
	Right to Refuse Treatment	1040
	<i>Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Dept. of Health</i>	1041
	Right to Physician-Assisted Suicide	1048
	<i>Washington v. Glucksberg</i>	1048
	Vacco v. Quill	1054
F.	Constitutional Protection for Sexual Orientation and Sexual Activity	1056
	<i>Lawrence v. Texas</i>	1056
G.	Constitutional Protection for Control over Information	1069
	<i>Whalen v. Roe</i>	1069
H.	Constitutional Protection for Travel	1072
	<i>Saenz v. Roe</i>	1073
	Restrictions on Foreign Travel	1079
I.	The Right to Vote	1080
1.	The Right to Vote as a Fundamental Right	1080

2.	Restrictions on the Ability to Vote	1081
	Poll Taxes	1081
	<i>Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections</i>	1081
	Property Ownership Requirements	1082
	<i>Kramer v. Union Free School District</i>	1083
	<i>Ball v. James</i>	1085
	Literacy Tests	1089
	Prisoners' and Convicted Criminals' Right to Vote	1089
	Requirement for Photo Identification for Voting	1091
	<i>Crawford v. Marion County Election Board</i>	1091
3.	Dilution of the Right to Vote	1102
	<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i>	1103
	Wesberry v. Sanders	1107
4.	Counting "Uncounted" Votes in a Presidential Election:	
	Bush v. Gore	1110
	The Events Leading to Bush v. Gore	1110
	The Decision	1113
	<i>Bush v. Gore</i>	1113
	Issues to Consider Concerning Bush v. Gore	1133
J.	Constitutional Protection for Access to Courts	1133
	Filing Fees	1135
	<i>Boddie v. Connecticut</i>	1136
	<i>United States v. Kras</i>	1139
	<i>M.L.B. v. S.L.J.</i>	1143
	Prisoners' Right of Access to the Courts	1146
	Bounds v. Smith	1146
	<i>Lewis v. Casey</i>	1148
K.	Constitutional Protection for a Right to Education	1151
	<i>San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez</i>	1151
L.	Procedural Due Process	1158
1.	What Is a "Deprivation"?	1159
	Is Negligence Sufficient to Constitute a Deprivation?	1160
	<i>Daniels v. Williams</i>	1160
	<i>County of Sacramento v. Lewis</i>	1161
	When Is the Government's Failure to Protect a Person from Privately Inflicted Harms a Deprivation?	1164
	<i>DeShaney v. Winnebago County Dept. of Social Services</i>	1164
	<i>Town of Castle Rock v. Gonzales</i>	1170
2.	Is It a Deprivation of "Life, Liberty, or Property"?	1176
	The "Rights-Privileges" Distinction and Its Demise	1176
	<i>Goldberg v. Kelly</i>	1177
	What Is a Deprivation of Property?	1181
	<i>Board of Regents v. Roth</i>	1181
	What Is a Deprivation of Liberty?	1186
	Reputation as a Liberty Interest	1186
	<i>Goss v. Lopez</i>	1187
	<i>Paul v. Davis</i>	1189

Liberty Interest for Prisoners	1192
Sandin v. Conner	1195
3. What Procedures Are Required?	1197
<i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i>	1198
Government Employment	1202
Family Rights	1203

CHAPTER 9

FIRST AMENDMENT: FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION	1205
A. Introduction	1205
1. Historical Background	1205
2. Why Should Freedom of Speech Be a Fundamental Right?	1207
a. Self-Governance	1208
b. Discovering Truth	1209
c. Advancing Autonomy	1211
d. Promoting Tolerance	1212
e. Conclusion	1212
3. The Issues in Free Expression Analysis	1212
B. Free Speech Methodology	1214
1. The Distinction Between Content-Based and Content-Neutral Laws	1214
a. The Importance of the Distinction	1214
<i>Turner Broadcasting System, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commn.</i>	1214
b. How Is It Determined Whether a Law Is Content-Based?	1218
<i>Boos v. Barry</i>	1219
<i>Republican Party of Minnesota v. White</i>	1221
c. Problems in Applying the Distinction Between Content-Based and Content-Neutral Laws	1232
<i>City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres, Inc.</i>	1232
<i>National Endowment for the Arts v. Finley</i>	1236
<i>United States v. American Library Assn., Inc.</i>	1240
2. Vagueness and Overbreadth	1247
a. Vagueness	1247
<i>Coates v. City of Cincinnati</i>	1248
b. Overbreadth	1249
<i>Schad v. Borough of Mount Ephraim</i>	1249
c. Relationship Between Vagueness and Overbreadth	1253
Board of Airport Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles v. Jews for Jesus, Inc.	1253
3. Prior Restraints	1254
a. What Is a Prior Restraint?	1254
b. Are Prior Restraints Really So Bad?	1255
i. Court Orders as a Prior Restraint	1258
<i>Near v. State of Minnesota ex rel. Olson</i>	1258
ii. Court Orders to Protect National Security	1260

	<i>New York Times Co. v. United States</i>	1260
iii.	Court Orders to Protect Fair Trials	1268
	<i>Nebraska Press Assn. v. Stuart</i>	1268
iv.	Court Orders Seizing the Assets of Businesses	
	Convicted of Obscenity Violations	1273
	<i>Alexander v. United States</i>	1273
c.	Licensing as a Prior Restraint	1276
	Lovell v. City of Griffin, Ga.	1276
	<i>Watchtower Bible & Tract Society of New York, Inc. v. Village of Stratton</i>	1277
i.	Important Reason for Licensing	1282
ii.	Clear Standards Leaving Almost No Discretion to the Government	1282
	City of Lakewood v. Plain Dealer Publishing Co.	1283
iii.	Procedural Safeguards	1284
	<i>Thomas & Windy City Hemp Development Board v. Chicago Park District</i>	1285
	<i>City of Littleton, Colorado v. Z.J. GIFTS D-4, L.L.C.</i>	1288
4.	What Is an Infringement of Freedom of Speech?	1291
	Civil Liability and Denial of Compensation for Speech	1291
	Prohibitions on Compensation	1292
	<i>United States v. National Treasury Employees Union</i>	1293
	Compelled Speech	1294
	<i>West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette</i>	1294
	<i>Runsfeld v. Forum for Academic & Institutional Rights, Inc.</i>	1297
	<i>McIntyre v. Ohio Elections Commn.</i>	1303
	Unconstitutional Conditions	1307
	Speiser v. Randall	1308
	<i>Rust v. Sullivan</i>	1309
	<i>Legal Services Corp. v. Velazquez</i>	1313
	Government Pressures	1319
C.	Types of Unprotected and Less Protected Speech	1321
1.	Incitement of Illegal Activity	1322
a.	Introduction	1322
b.	The “Clear and Present Danger” Test	1323
	<i>Schenck v. United States</i>	1324
	<i>Frohwerk v. United States</i>	1325
	<i>Debs v. United States</i>	1326
	<i>Abrams v. United States</i>	1327
c.	The Reasonableness Approach	1330
	<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>	1330
	<i>Whitney v. California</i>	1333
d.	The Risk Formula Approach	1336
	<i>Dennis v. United States</i>	1337
e.	The <i>Brandenburg</i> Test	1342
	<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>	1343
2.	Fighting Words, the Hostile Audience, and the Problem of Racist Speech	1345
a.	Fighting Words	1346

	<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>	1346
i.	Narrowing the Fighting Words Doctrine	1348
ii.	Fighting Words Laws Invalidated as Vague and Overbroad	1349
	<i>Gooding v. Wilson</i>	1349
iii.	Narrow Fighting Words Laws as Content-Based Restrictions	1351
	<i>R.A.V. v. City of St. Paul, Minnesota</i>	1351
b.	The Hostile Audience Cases	1358
	<i>Feiner v. New York</i>	1359
	The Problem of Racist Speech	1362
	<i>Beauharnais v. Illinois</i>	1362
	<i>Virginia v. Black</i>	1366
3.	Sexually Oriented Speech	1375
a.	Obscenity	1376
i.	Supreme Court Decisions Finding Obscenity Unprotected	1376
	<i>Roth v. United States</i>	1376
	<i>Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton</i>	1378
	<i>Miller v. California</i>	1381
ii.	Should Obscenity Be a Category of Unprotected Speech?	1383
iii.	Should There Be a New Exception for Pornography?	1385
b.	Child Pornography	1386
	<i>New York v. Ferber</i>	1387
	<i>Ashcroft v. Free Speech Coalition</i>	1389
c.	Protected but Low-Value Sexual Speech	1396
i.	Zoning Ordinances	1396
	<i>Young v. American Mini Theatres, Inc.</i>	1397
ii.	Nude Dancing	1400
	<i>City of Erie v. Pap's A.M.</i>	1401
iii.	Should There Be Such a Category as Low-Value Sexual Speech?	1405
d.	Government Techniques for Controlling Obscenity and Child Pornography	1406
	<i>Stanley v. Georgia</i>	1406
	<i>Osborne v. Ohio</i>	1407
e.	Profanity and "Indecent" Speech	1408
	<i>Cohen v. California</i>	1408
i.	The Broadcast Media	1412
	<i>Federal Communications Commn. v. Pacifica Foundation</i>	1412
ii.	Telephones	1415
	<i>Sable Communications of California, Inc. v. Federal Communications Commn.</i>	1415
iii.	The Internet	1417
	<i>Reno v. American Civil Liberties Union</i>	1417
	<i>Ashcroft v. American Civil Liberties Union</i>	1421
iv.	Cable Television	1426

4. Commercial Speech	1428
a. Constitutional Protection for Commercial Speech	1428
<i>Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens</i>	
<i>Consumer Council, Inc.</i>	1429
Overview of the Section	1434
b. What Is Commercial Speech?	1434
<i>Bolger v. Youngs Drug Products Corp.</i>	1435
c. The Test for Evaluating Regulation of Commercial Speech	1436
<i>Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp. v. Public Service</i>	
<i>Commn. of New York</i>	1436
Is Least Restrictive Alternative Analysis Applicable?	1439
d. Advertising of Illegal Activities	1441
e. False and Deceptive Advertising	1442
f. Advertising That Inherently Risks Deception	1442
Restrictions on Trade Names	1442
<i>Friedman v. Rogers</i>	1442
Attorney Solicitation of Prospective Clients	1443
Solicitation by Accountants	1445
g. Regulating Commercial Speech to Achieve Other Goals	1445
i. “For Sale” Signs on Houses	1446
<i>Linmark Associates, Inc. v. Township of Willingboro</i>	1446
ii. Alcohol Products	1447
<i>44 Liquormart, Inc. v. Rhode Island</i>	1448
iii. Tobacco Products	1451
<i>Lorillard Tobacco Co. v. Reilly</i>	1451
iv. Gambling	1458
v. Advertising by Lawyers and Other Professionals	1459
5. Reputation, Privacy, Publicity, and the First Amendment:	
Torts and the First Amendment	1461
a. Defamation	1461
i. Public Officials as Defamation Plaintiffs	1462
<i>New York Times Co. v. Sullivan</i>	1462
ii. Public Figures as Plaintiffs	1468
<i>Gertz v. Welch</i>	1469
iii. Private Figures, Matters of Public Concern	1474
<i>Dun & Bradstreet, Inc. v. Greenmoss Builders, Inc.</i>	1474
iv. Private Figures, Matters Not of Public Concern	1477
v. Conclusion	1477
b. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1478
<i>Hustler Magazine v. Falwell</i>	1478
c. Public Disclosure of Private Facts	1480
<i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i>	1480
<i>Florida Star v. B.J.F.</i>	1482
Information from Non-Government Sources	1485
<i>Bartnicki v. Vopper</i>	1485
d. Right of Publicity	1493
6. Conduct That Communicates	1494
a. What Is Speech?	1494

b.	When Is Conduct Communicative?	1494
c.	When May the Government Regulate Conduct That Communicates?	1495
i.	The <i>O'Brien</i> Test	1495
	<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>	1496
ii.	Flag Desecration	1499
	<i>Texas v. Johnson</i>	1499
iii.	Spending Money as Political Speech	1505
	<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	1505
iv.	Criticisms of <i>Buckley</i>	1512
v.	The Continuing Distinction Between Contributions and Expenditures	1513
	<i>Nixon v. Shrink Missouri Government PAC</i>	1514
	<i>Randall v. Sorrell</i>	1519
vi.	Is Corporate Spending Protected Speech?	1527
	<i>First National Bank of Boston v. Bellotti</i>	1527
D.	What Places Are Available for Speech?	1531
1.	Government Properties and Speech	1532
a.	Initial Rejection and Subsequent Recognition of a Right to Use Government Property for Speech	1532
	<i>Hague v. Committee for Industrial Organization</i>	1533
	<i>Schneider v. New Jersey</i>	1534
b.	What Government Property and Under What Circumstances?	1535
	<i>Perry Education Assn. v. Perry Local Educators' Assn.</i>	1536
c.	Public Forums	1537
i.	Content Neutrality	1538
	<i>Police Department of the City of Chicago v. Mosley</i>	1538
ii.	Time, Place, and Manner Restrictions	1540
	<i>Hill v. Colorado</i>	1540
iii.	Licensing and Permit Systems	1547
iv.	No Requirement for Use of the Least Restrictive Alternative	1548
	<i>Ward v. Rock Against Racism</i>	1548
d.	Designated (Limited) Public Forums	1550
e.	Non-Public Forums	1551
	<i>Adderley v. Florida</i>	1551
	<i>Greer v. Spock</i>	1553
	<i>Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights</i>	1554
	<i>United States v. Kokinda</i>	1557
	<i>International Society for Krishna Consciousness, Inc. v. Lee</i>	1559
	<i>Arkansas Educational Television Commn. v. Forbes</i>	1562
2.	Private Property and Speech	1567
3.	Speech in Authoritarian Environments: Military, Prisons, and Schools	1568
a.	Military	1569
	<i>Parker v. Levy</i>	1569

b. Prisons	1571
<i>Thornburgh v. Abbott</i>	1571
<i>Shaw v. Murphy</i>	1574
c. Schools	1576
<i>Tinker v. Des Moines Independent Community</i>	
<i>School District</i>	1576
<i>Bethel School District No. 403 v. Fraser</i>	1580
<i>Hazelwood School District v. Kuhlmeier</i>	1582
<i>Morse v. Frederick</i>	1587
d. The Speech Rights of Government Employees	1596
<i>Garcetti v. Ceballos</i>	1596
E. Freedom of Association	1603
1. Laws Prohibiting and Punishing Membership	1604
2. Laws Requiring Disclosure of Membership	1605
<i>NAACP v. State of Alabama, ex rel. Patterson</i>	1605
Campaign Finance Disclosure	1607
3. Compelled Association	1608
<i>Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin</i>	
<i>System v. Southworth</i>	1609
4. Laws Prohibiting Discrimination	1612
<i>Roberts v. United States Jaycees</i>	1613
<i>Hurley v. Irish-American Gay, Lesbian, & Bisexual</i>	
<i>Group of Boston</i>	1617
<i>Boy Scouts of America v. Dale</i>	1620
F. Freedom of the Press	1625
1. Introduction: Are There Special Rights for the Press?	1625
2. Freedom of the Press as a Shield to Protect the Press	
from the Government	1627
a. Taxes on the Press	1627
<i>Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota</i>	
<i>Commissioner of Revenue</i>	1627
b. Application of General Regulatory Laws	1631
<i>Cohen v. Cowles Media Co.</i>	1632
c. Keeping Reporters' Sources and Secrets	
Confidential	1634
<i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i>	1635
d. Laws Requiring That the Media Make Access	
Available	1641
<i>Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. Federal</i>	
<i>Communications Commn.</i>	1642
<i>Miami Herald v. Tornillo</i>	1646
3. Freedom of the Press as a Sword: A First Amendment	
Right of Access to Government Places and Papers?	1648
a. Access to Judicial Proceedings	1649
<i>Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia</i>	1649
b. Prisons	1655
<i>Pell v. Procunier</i>	1656
<i>Houchins v. KQED</i>	1659

CHAPTER 10

FIRST AMENDMENT: RELIGION	1665
A. Introduction	1665
1. Constitutional Provisions Concerning Religion and the Tension Between Them	1665
2. History in Interpreting the Religion Clauses	1666
3. What Is Religion?	1668
The Attempt to Define Religion Under the Selective Service Act	1669
<i>United States v. Seeger</i>	1669
Requirement for Sincerely Held Beliefs	1671
<i>United States v. Ballard</i>	1672
The Relevance of Religious Dogma and Shared Beliefs	1674
B. The Free Exercise Clause	1675
1. Introduction: Free Exercise Clause Issues	1675
2. The Current Test	1676
<i>Employment Division, Department of Human Resources of Oregon v. Smith</i>	1676
The Law Before <i>Employment Division v. Smith</i>	1685
<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	1686
a. Government Benefit Cases	1688
b. Compulsory Schooling	1688
c. Cases Rejecting Exemptions Based on the Free Exercise Clause	1689
<i>Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye v. City of Hialeah</i>	1691
Statutory Protection of Religious Freedom	1695
<i>Cutter v. Wilkinson</i>	1696
3. Is Denial of Funding for Religious Education a Violation of Free Exercise of Religion?	1699
<i>Locke v. Davey</i>	1699
C. The Establishment Clause	1703
1. Competing Theories of the Establishment Clause	1703
a. Strict Separation	1703
b. Neutrality Theory	1704
c. Accommodation	1706
d. The Theories Applied: An Example	1708
<i>County of Allegheny v. American Civil Liberties Union, Greater Pittsburgh Chapter</i>	1708
2. Government Discrimination Among Religions	1712
<i>Larson v. Valente</i>	1712
3. The <i>Lemon</i> Test for the Establishment Clause	1715
<i>Lemon v. Kurtzman</i>	1715
The Requirement for a Secular Purpose	1717
The Requirement for a Secular Effect	1718
The Prohibition of Excessive Entanglement	1719
4. Religious Speech and the First Amendment	1720
a. Religious Group Access to School Facilities	1720

b. Student Religious Groups' Receipt of Government Funds	1722
<i>Rosenberger v. Rector & Visitors of the University of Virginia</i>	1722
c. Student-Delivered Prayers	1726
<i>Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe</i>	1727
d. Religious Symbols on Government Property	1731
<i>McCreary County v. American Civil Liberties Union of Kentucky</i>	1731
<i>Van Orden v. Perry</i>	1745
5. When Can Religion Become a Part of Government Activities?	1758
a. Religion as a Part of Government Activities: Schools	1758
Release Time	1758
School Prayers and Bible Reading	1759
<i>Engel v. Vitale</i>	1759
<i>Lee v. Weisman</i>	1762
Curricular Decisions	1770
b. Religion as a Part of Government Activities: Legislative Chaplains	1771
<i>Marsh v. Chambers</i>	1771
6. When Can Government Give Aid to Religion?	1771
Aid to Parochial Elementary and Secondary Schools	1772
<i>Mitchell v. Helms</i>	1773
<i>Zelman v. Simmons-Harris</i>	1785
Tax Exemptions for Religious Organizations	1803
Aid to Religious Colleges and Universities	1804
Aid to Religious Institutions Other Than Schools	1806
Table of Cases	1809
Index	1823